checkCIF/PLATON report

You have not supplied any structure factors. As a result the full set of tests cannot be run.

THIS REPORT IS FOR GUIDANCE ONLY. IF USED AS PART OF A REVIEW PROCEDURE FOR PUBLICATION, IT SHOULD NOT REPLACE THE EXPERTISE OF AN EXPERIENCED CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC REFEREE.

Datablock: 2

Bond precision:	Br-Br = 0.0060 A	Wavelength=0.71073	
Cell:	a=13.0997(11) alpha=90	b=13.6459(13) beta=90	c=27.906(3) gamma=90
Temperature:	293 К		
	Calculated	Reported	
Volume	4988.4(8)	4988.4(8)	
Space group	Pbam	Pbam	
Hall group		?	
Moiety formula		Br36 Dy6 Hg9	
Sum formula		Br36 Dy6 Hg9	
Mr	5656.71	5656.71	
Dx,g cm-3	3.766	3.741	
Z	2	2	
Mu (mm-1)	32.644	31.850	
F000	4752.0	4722.0	
F000′	4700.90		
h,k,lmax	15,16,33	15,16,33	
Nref	4514	4402	
Tmin,Tmax	0.090,0.148	0.090,0.148	
Tmin'	0.068		
Correction method= # Reported T Limits: Tmin=0.090 Tmax=0.148 AbsCorr = MULTI-SCAN			
Data completeness= 0.975		Theta(max) = 25.030	
R(reflections)= 0.1122(2666) wR2(reflections)= 0.3482(4402)			
S = 1.032 Npar= 125			
-			

The following ALERTS were generated. Each ALERT has the format test-name_ALERT_alert-type_alert-level.

Click on the hyperlinks for more details of the test.

🖣 Alert level A

PLAT602_ALERT_2_A VERY LARGE Solvent Accessible VOID(S) in Structure

! Info

Author Response: The VOID(S) is actually existed in the structure and no any solvent can be found.

🎑 Alert level B

PLAT241_ALERT_2_B High MainMol Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of Hg1 Check

Author Response: Although we try our best to solve this problem, the problem remains because the quality of the crystal is not high enough. Furthermore, this alert do not affect the main results in this manuscript.

PLAT241_ALERT_2_B High MainMol Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of Hg2 Check

Author Response: Although we try our best to solve this problem, the problem remains because the quality of the crystal is not high enough. Furthermore, this alert do not affect the main results in this manuscript.

PLAT242 ALERT 2 B Low MainMol Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of Br8 Check

Author Response: Although we try our best to solve this problem, the problem remains because the quality of the crystal is not high enough. Furthermore, this alert do not affect the main results in this manuscript

Alert level C

```
ABSMU01_ALERT_1_C The ratio of given/expected absorption coefficient lies
              outside the range 0.99 <> 1.01
                                     32.644
           Calculated value of mu =
           Value of mu given =
                                     31.850
DIFMN02_ALERT_2_C The minimum difference density is < -0.1*ZMAX*0.75
           _refine_diff_density_min given = -6.423
                           -6.000
           Test value =
DIFMN03_ALERT_1_C The minimum difference density is < -0.1*ZMAX*0.75
           The relevant atom site should be identified.
PLAT029_ALERT_3_C _diffrn_measured_fraction_theta_full value Low .
                                                                    0.975 Why?
PLAT051_ALERT_1_C Mu(calc) and Mu(CIF) Ratio Differs from 1.0 by .
                                                                    2.49 %
PLAT068_ALERT_1_C Reported F000 Differs from Calcd (or Missing)...
                                                                   Please Check
PLAT082_ALERT_2_C High R1 Value .....
                                                                    0.11 Report
PLAT084_ALERT_3_C High wR2 Value (i.e. > 0.25) ......
                                                                    0.35 Report
PLAT098_ALERT_2_C Large Reported Min. (Negative) Residual Density
                                                                   -6.42 eA-3
                                                                     293 Check
PLAT199_ALERT_1_C Reported _cell_measurement_temperature .... (K)
PLAT200_ALERT_1_C Reported __diffrn_ambient_temperature ..... (K)
                                                                     293 Check
PLAT241_ALERT_2_C High MainMol Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of
                                                                  Hg3 Check
```

Author Response: Although we try our best to solve this problem, the problem remains because the quality of the crystal is not high enough. Furthermore, this alert do not affect the main results in this manuscript.

PLAT242_ALERT_2_C Low MainMol Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of Dy3 Check

Author Response: Although we try our best to solve this problem, the problem remains because the quality of the crystal is not high enough. Furthermore, this alert do not affect the main results in this manuscript

PLAT242_ALERT_2_C Low MainMol Ueq as Compared to Neighbors of Br5 Check

Author Response: Although we try our best to solve this problem, the problem remains because the quality of the crystal is not high enough. Furthermore, this alert do not affect the main results in this manuscript

PLAT260_ALERT_2_C Large Average Ueq of Residue Including Hg1 0.104 Check

```
Alert level G
```

PLAT004_ALERT_5_G Polymeric Structure Found with Maximum Dimension 3 Info PLAT005_ALERT_5_G No Embedded Refinement Details Found in the CIF Please Do ! PLAT066_ALERT_1_G Predicted and Reported Tmin&Tmax Range Identical ? Check PLAT072_ALERT_2_G SHELXL First Parameter in WGHT Unusually Large 0.19 Report PLAT083_ALERT_2_G SHELXL Second Parameter in WGHT Unusually Large 179.14 Why ? PLAT794_ALERT_5_G Tentative Bond Valency for Hg1 (II) . 2.19 Info PLAT794_ALERT_5_G Tentative Bond Valency for Hg3 (II) 2.07 Info PLAT804_ALERT_5_G Number of ARU-Code Packing Problem(s) in PLATON 85 Info PLAT899_ALERT_4_G SHELXL97 is Deprecated and Succeeded by SHELXL 2018 Note

- 1 ALERT level A = Most likely a serious problem resolve or explain
- 3 ALERT level B = A potentially serious problem, consider carefully
- 15 ALERT level C = Check. Ensure it is not caused by an omission or oversight
- 9 ALERT level G = General information/check it is not something unexpected
- 7 ALERT type 1 CIF construction/syntax error, inconsistent or missing data
- 13 ALERT type 2 Indicator that the structure model may be wrong or deficient
- 2 ALERT type 3 Indicator that the structure quality may be low
- 1 ALERT type 4 Improvement, methodology, query or suggestion
- 5 ALERT type 5 Informative message, check

It is advisable to attempt to resolve as many as possible of the alerts in all categories. Often the minor alerts point to easily fixed oversights, errors and omissions in your CIF or refinement strategy, so attention to these fine details can be worthwhile. In order to resolve some of the more serious problems it may be necessary to carry out additional measurements or structure refinements. However, the purpose of your study may justify the reported deviations and the more serious of these should normally be commented upon in the discussion or experimental section of a paper or in the "special_details" fields of the CIF. checkCIF was carefully designed to identify outliers and unusual parameters, but every test has its limitations and alerts that are not important in a particular case may appear. Conversely, the absence of alerts does not guarantee there are no aspects of the results needing attention. It is up to the individual to critically assess their own results and, if necessary, seek expert advice.

Publication of your CIF in IUCr journals

A basic structural check has been run on your CIF. These basic checks will be run on all CIFs submitted for publication in IUCr journals (*Acta Crystallographica*, *Journal of Applied Crystallography*, *Journal of Synchrotron Radiation*); however, if you intend to submit to *Acta Crystallographica Section C* or *E* or *IUCrData*, you should make sure that full publication checks are run on the final version of your CIF prior to submission.

Publication of your CIF in other journals

Please refer to the *Notes for Authors* of the relevant journal for any special instructions relating to CIF submission.

PLATON version of 22/12/2019; check.def file version of 13/12/2019

